



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,038	08/28/2003	Michael Haisch	0902-005	6948
42015 7590 10/23/2007 POTOMAC PATENT GROUP PLLC P. O. BOX 270 FREDERICKSBURG, VA 22404			EXAMINER LAVARIAS, ARNEL C	
			ART UNIT 2872	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 10/23/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tammy@ppglaw.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/650,038

Applicant(s)

HAISCH ET AL.

Examiner

Arnel C. Lavarias

Art Unit

2872

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arnel C. Lavarias.

(3) Douglas H. Pearson (Applicants' representative).

(2) Krishna Kalidindi (Applicants' representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 9-11 and 35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kitajima, Frangioni, Furusawa et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ARNEL LAVARIAS
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative request personal interview to discuss the prior art rejections. Claims 9, 10, 11, 35 were discussed with respect to Kitajima, Frangioni, and Furusawa et al. Examiner noted that amendments to the claims to recite storage and recall may overcome the rejections of record, but these amendments may require further consideration and search of the prior art. Applicants and Applicants' representatives will discuss this and submit a response to the outstanding Office Action dated 5/18/07.